

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

10/057,554 01/23/2002 Laurence C. Chow 10118.00012 2403 22908 7590 10/21/2003 EXAMINER BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD. TEN SOUTH WACKER DRIVE		APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD. TEN SOUTH WACKER DRIVE	10/057,554		01/23/2002		Laurence C. Chow	10118.00012	2403	
TEN SOUTH WACKER DRIVE		22908	7590	10/21/2003		EXAMINER		
		BANNER &	& WITCO	OFF, LTD.	WOOD, ELIZABETH D			
		TEN SOUTI	H WACKE	ER DRIVE		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
		CHICAGO.	IL 60606	5		1755		

DATE MAILED: 10/21/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-					jO				
		1	Application No.	1-	Applicant(s)				
			10/057,554		CHOW ET AL				
	Office Action Summary	<u> </u>	Examiner		Art Unit				
	•		Elizabeth D. Wo	od	1755				
Peri d	The MAILING DATE of this community of the community of	nication appea	ars on the cove	r sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress			
THE - Ex aft - If t - If t - Fa - An	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNITY (Inc.) The may be available under the provision or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply specified above is less than thirty (NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum sillure to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(munication. 30) days, a reply w tatutory period will y will, by statute, ca	(a). In no event, how ithin the statutory mi apply and will expire ause the application t	ever, may a reply be tim nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from o become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on <u>18 Au</u>	gust 2003 .						
2a)[This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This	action is non-f	inal.					
3)□ Dispos	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practition of Claims					ne merits is			
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-11</u> is/are pendi	ng in the appl	lication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/s	are withdrawn	from consider	ration.		•			
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are allowed.								
6)[∑	Claim(s) <u>7-11</u> is/are rejected.								
7)[Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
∃(8 Applica	Claim(s) are subject to restriction Papers	ction and/or e	election require	ement.					
9)[] The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.							
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a)□ accepte	ed or b) objec	ted to by the Exar	miner.				
	Applicant may not request that any ob-	jection to the c	drawing(s) be he	ld in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)[The proposed drawing correction file	ed on is	s: a)∏ approv	ed b)⊡ disappro	ved by the Examin	er.			
•	If approved, corrected drawings are re	equired in reply	to this Office a	ction.					
12)[The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Exar	niner.						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13)[Acknowledgment is made of a clair	n for foreign p	oriority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a	ı) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					•			
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents l	have been rec	eived.		•			
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents I	have been rec	eived in Applicati	on No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
14)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for domestic _l	priority under 3	35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisiona	l application).			
15)[a) The translation of the foreign la Acknowledgment is made of a claim		• •						
Attachme	-		•	30					
2) 🔲 No	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (prmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) I		4) 5) 6)		r (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01) Art Unit: 1755

R sponse to Amendment

The amendment filed August 18, 2003 is considered to overcome the art rejections set forth in the previous office action because of the arguments that the instant claims are free of water. It is considered that this newly claimed limitations is supported by the specification in that the examples do not use water and because the specification indicates that the powder constituents will convert to HA in the presence of water.

It should be noted that the rejections were not withdrawn because of the arguments that the instant composition is a premixed paste that is **in a package** because such is not claimed.

The following new rejection is applicable:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

These claims are indefinite and claim 7 may not be further limiting. The claims recite powders "derived from" for which there is no definition. Additionally, the claim recites derived from dicalcium phosphate and calcium carbonate. There is no provision for this combination in claim 1. Claim 1 recites that if calcium carbonate is present, then

Art Unit: 1755

∠-tricalcium phosphate is present. The examiner believes that the meaning of these claims is that dicalcium phosphate and calcium carbonate are used to make tetracalcium phosphate, which is the powdered calcium phosphate compound of claim

1. However, this interpretation is not reflected in the claims. Clarification is required.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-5 are allowable over the prior art of record for the reasons set forth previously in this office action. Claims 7-11 would be allowable if amended to overcome the rejections of record.

Conclusion

Applicants are advised that any evidence to be provided under 37 CFR 1.131 or 1.132 and any amendments to the claims and specification should be submitted prior to final rejection to be considered timely. It is anticipated that the next office action will be a final rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth D. Wood whose telephone number is 703-308-3802. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 5:30-2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell can be reached on 703-308-3823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 1755

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Elizabeth D. Wood Primary Examiner Art Unit 1755

edw